Pax Americana:

Imperialistic Fallacies of the Master Race

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

Author of
Extreme Prejudice

This is a freely distributable e-book released under a Creative Commons license.

Pax Americana:

Imperialistic Fantasies of the Master Race

Selected Writings
By
Rev. Sequoyah Kofi-Ade, a.k.a., The Angryindian

Author of **Extreme Prejudice** and host of **Radyo Inteligentaboriginal**

Contents

- Preface

1. An Open Letter to White America
2. Jesus, Arabs and the Death of Rational Diplomacy
3. Predatory Propaganda
4. The Best Democracy Money Can't Buy
5. Star-Power, Media and the Naiveté of American Minority Opinion
6. Dershowitz and Zionism

This is an **intelligentaboriginal Media** publication.
http://intelligentaboriginalmedia.atspace.org/

In humble gratitude of her valiant patience and sincere love for an Angryindian,

This Book is for Andrea.

Preface

This e-book, like the previous *Extreme Prejudice: Examining Contemporary Genocide in America*, is a short collection of commentaries initially posted to the Voice of a Native Son weblog, now the Inteligentaindigena Indigenismo Novajoservo newsblog and other alternative and Aboriginal media outlets available across the Internet.

While my first e-book focused primarily on the institutionalised system of racism as practised in the United States, this compilation concerns itself with American foreign relations. As of the time of this writing, the secular state of Israel after several weeks of intense and indiscriminate bombing is tentatively agreeing to cease its campaign of citizen-directed terrorism against the people of Lebanon ostensibly to root out or entirely annihilate the Palestinian liberation organisation, Hezbollah.

I feel it is important to note this because it is an open secret that the state of Israel is financially, militarily and ethically supported by the United States, a nation built on genocide and colonialism that makes bold claims to being the moral centre of the world. Not that anyone, aside from propagandists and those for whom the propaganda is manufactured, really believes this is beside the point. The U.S. is without a doubt the planet's only major superpower and through this unparalleled position, justifies its actions as necessary to maintain not merely its exalted arrangement, but world peace itself.

Perhaps this is an obvious contradiction, but the fact remains that with more than 100 Iraqi citizens being killed a day; a sectarian civil war ensuing while American generals, politicians and war profiteers tell the press that everything is going great; the newly reformed drug-peddling Afghanistan Taliban back in de-facto power and last, but not least, the loss of scores of American military service personnel, the U.S. is not telling us the truth.

Propaganda as disseminated via the corporative mass media does not discuss these inconsistencies, ever. Even the falsely labelled "liberal" National Public Radio news service has made it a point of their programming policy to regurgitate the fallacious reportage one can easily find at any time on the FOX network or Rush Limbaugh's nationally syndicated three hours of hate. To question American leaders and uniformed soldiers brings on charges of "weakness in the face of terrorism" or "anti-Americanism" of the lowest order.

The spectre of Sen. Joe McCarthy lingers in the minds and hearts of Americans of European decent and when coupled with traditionalist evangelical Christian faith, converts into something much more dangerous than anything Whittaker Chambers could fabricate for the benefit of the post-World War Two era of White Supremacist ideology.

Despite the emotive declarations by the establishment, for most White faces and others substantially darker, the underlying principle behind American foreign policy whether in their dealings with Aboriginal Americans or Western Asian Arabs is the same. It is the unwelcome imposition of Eurocentric paradigms of political, economic and cultural mores on populations targeted for re-configuration for the gain of Europeans and Europeans only. After more than two hundred years of American Manifest Destiny on two continents and various adventures across the world once the European homelands lost either the willpower or means to maintain the old world order, America has attempted to do what classical Rome failed to do successfully, gain the entire "known" world and hold it.

In this e-book you will find six short commentaries taking an Indigenist perspective of American policy towards Aboriginal Americans, Palestinians and the island Nation of Cuba. For those of you puzzled by the inclusion of American Indians in a publication concerning U.S. foreign policy, be not confused. The First Nations of the Americas *are* foreign nations, trapped illegally within the claimed borders of the colonial United States. We exist in conditions no different than that of Cuba's or by extension, Hawaii, the Philippines, Puerto Rico, Guam, Saipan, Samoa or a handful of other protectorates.

The critiques presented here such as the previous explanation are commonly disregarded as conspiracy theories by the American establishment and subsequently ignored in favour of a more complimentary propaganda representation promoting a racially and ethnically neutral national homogeneity. Nothing could be further from the truth.

This reluctance to admit to racism and similar xenophobic issues at home lends itself to passive public support for brutal American policies that would not be permissible against European targets. As long as self-satisfied White Americans and their indoctrinated and usually bought off non-European hangers-on's can reassure themselves that White makes right, expect apathy in American foreign policy to continue unabated.

Hopefully, this release will throw some light on this chauvinistic trend.

Caveat Emptor

The wide ranging world of Judeophobia being what it is, I intend to go on the record as saying from the outset that this e-book is unabashedly critical of the secular state of Israel as well as the United States and its connection to that political entity.

The oft-repeated allegation that overt criticism of Israeli policy is no different than other, overtly ethnically bigoted criticisms of Jews is while understandable under the circumstances, is not the purpose of this publication.

I leave such anti-Jewish fantasies to the David Duke's of the world, the real xenophobes lurking in the dark corners of every society that purports to "prove" that some Jewish cabal of conspirators secretly controls the entire world.
What these idiots never seem to do is to logically deconstruct their own half-baked theories. Major American banks are not controlled by Jewish families. If Jews really were in charge of how the world worked, the European holocaust would never have occurred. If Jews ran the planet, why did it take them so long to finally gain a nation-state of their own? Such accusations dissolve in the face of rational thought. Especially when one considers that these Christian and Muslim believers are practising a faith that originated with ancient Jewish culture to begin with. Jesus was a Jew, unless by some means his ministry absolved him of his ethnicity, which of course it did not.

It is a mistake to oversimplify the neo-Nazi aspects of such theories, if you can respect them as such. Such attitudes and beliefs are borne of a deep seated sense of inadequacy that seeks to justify its fear as something else. By blaming Jews for everything wrong with the world capitalists have made, its only two steps from hating someone else for similar reasons with similar results manifesting in the end.

The fools who still find credence in nonsensical tomes such as the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, (a fabricated account of a Zionist meeting in the very late 1800's) in light of the fact that the book has been proven a falsehood many times over, hang onto the Protocols because it offers a legitimacy they cannot find elsewhere.

And Judeophobic bigots seeking to find more evidence of a secret Jewish plot in my condemning the political characteristics of Israel have not found a friend here. My issues with Israel are the same as I would have with any colonial state, regardless of the ethnicities involved.

I hope this clears the air, but again, bigots being bigots will see what they want to see rather than what is there.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade – The Angryindian

Revised: 03.27.2008

An Open Letter to White America

An Indigenist Response to American Fascism taking Root on claimed U.S. Soil

Rev. Sequoyah Ade
5.27.2006

"You're not so blind with patriotism that you can't face reality. Wrong is wrong, no matter who does it or says it."
- Malcolm X

Let's begin with an ethical concept current standing American President George W. Bush has never, within his long and substantially blotted career ever employed to any practical degree. I'm going to commence this article with full disclosure from the very start.

I am not an American, at least not in the commonly accepted usage of the term. I am someone for whom the presumptuous ideal of "America" equates immediately to something more akin to passive totalitarianism. For the 500 countries destroyed in the wake of the European exodus to the promised lands of the southern and northern Americas, totalitarianism under a Eurocentric government is a way of life. Our cultures and independence, despite the howls of acquiescent Natives who proudly proclaim that "We are still here," are functionally non-existent. Hooping and hollering in today's commercialised Pow-Wow circuit is not evidence of a sustainable people. Neither is a doll made up to look like a Dine' code-talker or the mega-corporation Disney's revisionist cartoon for children and adults alike, Pocahontas.

Real independence or sovereignty as the people Americans insist on labelling "Indians" like to call it in our pitiful excuse for a Native press is after all the killings, rapes, boarding school indoctrination and forced sterilisations a long forgotten dream. We talk often about how proud, independent and patriotic we are, towards White America anyway. Our loyalties to our own agendas reside in a special place in our spirits but not our minds. The wish to be truly free from White settler control and the perpetual genocide we endure has been viciously beaten out of us by the weight of Eurocentric numbers, military power and more importantly, American imposed self-colonialization.

This isn't to say that there aren't those of us who love being who we are and know the real deal concerning real Indians and cowboys past and present, but let's be real. We exist within a web of imposed illusions by an overwhelmingly brutal and avaricious population of Europeans bent on reshaping the planet in line with their own narrow paradigms of White perspective.

Having said that, the no-frills version of America as a colonialist and imperial state that has managed to effectively surpass ancient Rome is without question a factual reality borne out by the incipient horror of the facts. Ask any honest person Indigenous to the Americas, your local history teacher or any Iraqi citizen not yet killed by American military personnel. Not that this reality is much talked about let alone discussed as serious history. It isn't. But the factoid that America as a democratic, humanistic beacon of enlightenment is a patently false facade perpetuated by openly bigoted Europeans emotionally driven to purge the historical record of their monstrous deeds towards their fellow human beings goes unmentioned. Americans, desperate enough to deny their own record of Indigenous genocide, African slavery and imperialist control over other, significantly weaker nations and populations readily adopt and adapt to state propaganda that frankly, tells them that they are good people doing the entire world a favour.

The Bush administration survives in large part due to this quasi-theological mythology of American Exceptionalism, the idea that White makes Might. If the Indians died out due to outright murder by zealous White settlers, it's because they were an inferior race, not the fault of the poor, freedom seeking and innocent Christian Europeans. Some American historians go so far as to imply that Indians simply "disappeared" due to our inherent racial and cultural inferiorities rather than the end results of a sweepingly belligerent White racist push to eradicate the Indigenous population.

So it should be no big surprise that the American government and its supposedly free thinking, allegedly democratic-loving public that upholds that office can sit idly by while the Bush gang runs roughshod over the American Constitution and basic civil and human rights at home. When White Americans express shock and disbelief that the rest of the conscious world views them as bastards, I wonder, do they ever think about their own history?

Even if one does not want to review the past, the present offers more than enough fodder for the inquisitive American mind. After the racially biased elections of 2000 and 2004 in several states, debt to China and others "ungodly" national treasuries, the leaking of the Downing Street memorandum, the clear lack of WMD's in Iraq, the open embrace of the Ku Klux Klan via the Minutemen and other White Supremacist elements in the U.S., the Abu Ghraib torture leak, the Guantanamo Bay torture leak, the blatant and state sponsored corruption of big business, the outing of CIA operative Valerie Plame to draw blood from her husband professional diplomat Joseph P. Wilson who chose not to lie for his government and its multinational corporate sponsors, the curtailing of EPA rules that defend the environment, The Terri Schiavo fiasco, more than 2.2 million Americans in correctional facilities across the country (Europeans make up less than 2% of the entire prison population) Evangelical nutcase Pat Robertson publicly calling for the assassination of a foreign head of state with no repercussions, the CIA's extraordinary renditions throughout the world, the illegal and unconstitutional wiretapping of all communications within the United States by the NSA and the fact that speaking out against the abuses levied by this administration against the entire planet as well as American citizens may place a openly questioning dissident in dangerous straights with the secret police, (a safe assumption being that John Negroponte is the sole head of all

American intelligence) and now with the U.S. maintaining a militarised southern border that even the Canadians are openly touting as racist and you have all the makings of a U.S. styled classically fascist state built, preserved and codified by the dictates of Kipling's ode to White power.

None of what I have mentioned is new news. Only, no one and I mean *no one* aside from the more radically political elements on the much maligned and disintegrated organised left who called this what it was years ago, is willing to say out loud that the United States has slipped into a fiercely corporate model of governance Benito Mussolini would be amazed by for it sheer immensity. Unlike the godfather of state run corporatism, George W. Bush has at his disposal the most massive and invasive media machine ever known in the history of mankind and the sincere loyalty of those just as intolerant, narrow-minded and blinded by an outdated and truthfully non-European faith as himself and his conspiracy-driven helpers.

Let's cut to the chase. George W. Bush is a liar and a bad one at that. He said that although there were "irregularities" in his election bids, he and his supporters maintain that his presidency is wholly legitimate. G.W. Bush told the American public that Iraq and Saddam Hussein personally was an close ally of Osama Bin-Laden and that the British fabricated Iraqi state posed a clear and present danger to the United States and the rest of the capitalist world despite the fact that Iraq never at anytime ever threatened the U.S. or possessed the capability to effectively attack U.S. soil or other off-shore pecuniary interests. G.W. Bush along with the rest of his corrupt hand-picked cabinet fixed false facts to support their policies in order to wage an anti-terrorist "war" against two sovereign nations pre-emptively and the British have substantial evidence of the plot to remove Saddam Hussein from as head of state. Anti-neo-conservative protesters and activists face derision, harassment, arrest and jail time for voicing opinions that run counter to the Bush administration's actions and aims that affect everybody in the world, including American citizens and residents.

The bottom line here is that if George W. Bush gives a damn at all about the United States as an institution he would do what other post-World War Two heads of state have done in order to preserve the illusion of democracy when caught red-handed with their hand, (in this case two hands) in the proverbial cookie jar.

As the leader of a nation, you quietly and humbly step down and allow a legitimate electoral process to rebuild the government and the public's sense of democratic authority over those they pay taxes to. If the circumstances I listed, (a rather edited list mind you) were occurrences taking place in another country that claimed to be a democracy, the U.S. State Department would be the first to demand that that leader step down and call for new elections. It's the only democratic course one could take and still claim the mantle of liberator to the world in name only. The revolting realism of a Christianised American Fourth Reich which resembles the Afghanistanian Taliban more so than the commonly attributed references to Hitler and Germany, is perhaps too much to bear for many Americans used to enjoying the "privileges" of being American at the costly expense of others not fortunate enough to be born White in the U.S.

So instead of talking about censure, retractions of false statements by this administration and an immediate pullout of American forces around the globe, talk about this perverted administrations dissolution from American politics. Don't wait for the last republican or sissified democrat to be indicted before the public at large decides that enough is enough because they won't. As long as George and his "briefers" can keep the mainstream public's miserably minute attention span fixed on Gay marriage, prayer in schools and activist judges, things are not likely to change, ever. No government including this one has ever fully reversed the illegal collection of domestic intelligence, extra-judicial heavy handed state sponsored dissent controls or external aggression once the door has been opened by lawmakers who only apply law where the power structure or their campaign financers tell them to apply it. If the sanctity of international law meant anything at all especially in regards to the United States and its constitution, President George W. Bush and his cronies would be sitting in the dock of the World Court at The Hague. If the rule of law was levied against the elites in the U.S. as it is imposed upon the poor and politically powerless people in this country, he would not be alone since Saddam Hussein, Henry Kissinger, Augusto Pinochet, John Negroponte and other enemies of global peace would in a just world be sitting alongside him like a bad remake of Nuremburg except this isn't a movie. The transgressions are real as are the victims.

So take it from an intelligent Indian living somewhat ungracefully under American colonial dominance, America especially White or wish they were White America has not learned anything over the past 500 years of our dealing with you. Now your hate and apathy towards those you deem unworthy of simple human respect here and elsewhere has turned back onto its original source. The chickens have truly come back to roost in your lap and you have no one to blame but yourselves. George W. Bush and his cronies cannot do anything unless the American public allows them to. So take full credit for what you have created.

And when this all falls down around your ears, don't come to us. We have another agenda and America isn't on the list.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

Jesus, Arabs and the Death of Rational Diplomacy

7.28.2006
Rev. Sequoyah Ade

"And Jabez called on the God of Israel saying, "Oh that You would bless me indeed, and enlarge my territory, that Your hand would be with me, and that You would keep me from evil, that I may not cause pain!" So God granted him what he requested."

-1 Chronicles, 4:9-10: King James Version of the Holy Bible

I would really, really appreciate it if Euro-centrist neo-conservative Christian fascists would have the courage to call themselves what they are and honestly come forward as to what they really believe in. While raising hell about abortion, affirmative action, non-White immigration, homosexual marriage, welfare and the whining of the destitute, the group that vociferously errs on the side of life has tacitly given Israel the green light to murder as many Arabs as they like in the Gaza, the West Bank and Lebanon. Add to this the open hint from Bush the Younger and his minions in the American State Department that Syria is next to receive a particularly thunderous pounding from God's chosen people, (with substantial financial assistance from the U.S.) and you have all the makings of another globalised "conflict" orchestrated by the American corporatised Rapture Right.

Not unlike his ideological predecessor former American president Thomas Woodrow Wilson, the Christian God speaks directly to George Dubya Bush through the financiers, investors and incessant Bible-thumpers that comprise what we politely call his "base."

You see, by instigating the Christian Jihad against the Arab world by sadistically invading and occupying Iraq, (under the direction of God, or so says Bush the Younger) under the auspices of *Weapons of Mass Destruction* (WMD's) which were never found nor proven to exist, the "base" is praying for Armageddon while the capitalists pray for higher returns on their war-profiteering investments by robbing the Middle-East blind via "democracy." Jesus the Christ it seems is on their side.

If this all sounds like communistic, liberal far-left gobbly-gook to you, it isn't. Actually this is a divine plan purportedly revealed to the American Christian evangelicals through a relatively obscure passage in the King James Version of the Old Testament screed called the "Prayer of Jabez." As highlighted in a rabidly best-selling book penned by

Christian dominionist Bruce Wilkinson, this rather ambiguous line in the Book of Chronicles supposedly not only promises personal material wealth for those who recite it repetitively, but it also explicates that (if you adhere to the American rationalization) it is Biblically mandated that the European-Israeli desire to expand its land base via indiscriminate and overbearingly vicious violence against the Indigenous Semitic population is a God-given and therefore blessed right of passage onto the end of days as prophesized by the good book.

The sober fact that all of this is purely theological, nonsensical bullshit doesn't matter to those who steadfastly adhere to their own uncertainties and those of their collectives. The Bush administration like every other human power structure on this planet survives and feeds on two very clear and embarrassingly un-admitted articles of faith: the sanctity of European eugenic supremacy and the fears of the un-incorporated masses whom cannot confess to themselves that they are not in control of their own lives.

Nearly anything inclusive of yet not limited to rape, pillage and the murder of those deemed immutably remote from God's most meticulous grace can be excused as a religious opinion and has been ever since man invented the God to explain why the stars illuminate the night skies. When the power of political gravity is merged with the emotional burden of religious belief, (see the etymology of Religion for further clarification) empirical truth, the concept of universal justice and the wonder of human continuation in the midst of overwhelming odds to the contrary are merely elemental commodities to be bought, sold and traded by those in total control of the reins of actualized, if not acknowledged, power.

While I fully concede that everything above could logically be accorded towards Hamas, Hezbollah and a smattering of other extremist Arabic organizations, the simple fact is as I have extensively written previously is that you can only kick a dog so many times before it backs back. When you reduce a people already abridged by thousands of years imperialistic meddling to quasi-human commodities and then expect them to rationalize their plight as God's will, your denial of your own brutality will come back and bite you in the ass.

Every dead Arab charred by the U.S.-Israeli war-market machine guarantees that there will be at a minimum fifty others ready to don masks and bear Kalashnikovs in the struggle against the "devils." Young men and women geared up to die in a cause the West manufactured for the sake of the European-American industrialists President Wilson coddled and current American dictator George W. Bush enthusiastically identifies as his "base."

Query the American Central Intelligence Agency about the Taliban and the insider phrase "Blowback," then think in terms of the current "crisis." In fact, take into account how the Bush administration dismissed official FBI and CIA reports concerning not a "probable" attack on United States soil by Arab militants using commercial airliners, but a sure fire confirmation of such an event that for the administration and Bush the Younger's corporate associates morphed into a "trifecta" in the entire spectrum of the use of the

term. In the American lexicon of Christian evangelicals, predatory capitalists and investors, business trumps diplomacy, profits trump people. Precisely the sort of celestial edification Jesus came to Earth to teach and gave up his life for on the cross.

This is the gospel according to leading Christian mega-church pundits like T.D. Jakes and economic leviathans such as the late Ken Lay who has been compared to the enlightened Nazarene Semite and the Dr. Martin Luther King for his unrelenting devotion to the corporate bottom line. With such figures behind him, why should President Bush the Younger support a United Nations cease fire and prevent further loss of life in the Middle-East? God is talking to him directly.

Very Christian indeed.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

Predatory Propaganda:

The Political Significance of Zionism to the American Industrial Complex

7.29.2006

Sequoyah Ade

"We know who the homicidal maniacs are. They are the ones cheering and dancing right now. We should invade their countries, kill their leaders and convert them to Christianity. We weren't punctilious about locating and punishing only Hitler and his top officers. We carpet-bombed German cities; we killed civilians. That's war. And this is war."

- Ann Coulter

Of the most distinguishable hallmarks of an effectual propaganda system is the systematic creation and application of dehumanising and perfunctory ad hominem allegory designed to characterize the differences between "good" ideas and "bad" ideas. Institutional critiques presented in everyday vehicles, whether they be major corporate news outlets or popular culture entertainment offerings, share a distinct commonality in that they uniformly present to the general public the guided perspectives of the established authority structure.

Funnelled through the narrow filters of institutionally permissible and therefore by its very economic nature limited outlets, the mass media, in the 21st century has become a worldwide industry that serves as a carefully orchestrated scheme for communicating propaganda that indubitably says exactly what the title-holders of said media wish the public to think, believe and to accept.

In the state of affairs surrounding the phenomenon of excessive and overtly emotive Zionist zeal, there exist two quite distinct schools of thought although only one immediate perspective is presented by "responsible" representatives of the aforementioned authorities above. The first school of thought is legitimately highly fearful of the recurrence of Judeophobia such as the sort European Jewry endured under the fascist governments of the 1930's and the second, a purely secular nationalistic aspiration that derives its moralistic strengths from the first example.

What gives the subsequent example ample ethical power is the solid fact that while 20th century Europe and it's colonialist cousins turned a blind eye to fascist atrocities against Jews and many others ethno-social-theological groups deemed outcasts by the dominant

Christian society, millions perished due to the passive, yet tacitly approved and unacknowledged anti-Jewish prejudice shared across the European paradigm.[1]

However, the undeniable and eugenically biased reality that modern Jewry, in particular the Ashkenazim, or European Jewry, are not phenologically any different than other western Europeans makes their particular plight all the more recognisable and consequently more potentially personal for the Eurocentrically based preconceived notions we all are strongly encouraged to accept as "typical" of an "authentic" humanity.

The racism inherent in such perceptions is the result of more than 500 years of European directed propaganda[2]. One important reason such attitudes persist and serve to shape the socio-political landscape is the colossal scale of bureaucratic ownership and direction of the major as well as minor media conduits available to private citizens.

The structural relationship of big business, government and ethnocentric primacy is routinely dismissed as the ramblings of conspiracy theorists yet, instead of challenging such accusations directly with empirical evidence that would in theory effectually counter such allegations, the media and its corporately financed political spokespersons expend an enormous amount of capital disingenuously rejecting such claims and their originators as "anti-democratic," radical or the custom as of late, "liberal." By oversimplifying the assessment of critics, the intended result is a public more inclined to accept with little forethought the assumed authority of bureaucratically approved "experts" rather than the marginalised detractors that in many, many instances can and do supply ample evidence to support their claims.

The extraordinary magnitude accorded to propaganda in popular mass media cannot be logical denied.[3] Views and positions that openly challenge the Eurocentric capitalist status quo or suggest that a genuine democratic reform be given a chance to rectify the current corporate monopoly on disseminating accurate information to the public are consistently and customarily met with belligerent opposition, generally in the form of economic violence aimed at crippling any operatively creditable alternatives to the established order.

Such is the case with the current Israeli-Lebanese "conflict." The above mentioned patterns of corporate media monopoly figure prominently in how this devastating situation is being portrayed to the worldwide public via conventional media. In countries such as the United States which operate under the pretences of full democratic freedoms by an informed and ethically liberationist populace, the insistence by the elite media that they are objectively reporting the "facts" falls flat in comparison to their European, Asian, African and South American counterparts.

---

[1] Cohn, Norman. *Warrant for Genocide*, Eyre & Spottiswoode 1967; Serif, 1996
[2] Steven Leonard Jacobs, Mark Weitzman, *Dismantling the Big Lie: The Protocols of the Elders of Zion*. 2003
[3] Edward S. Herman, Noam Chomsky, *Manufacturing Consent* 1988

In this instance, the partitioning of opinions deviating from the official party-line is blatantly obvious to everyone except the American public. The U.S. populace has throughout its 200-plus year history persistently embraced a humanistic self-image of a freedom loving, prejudice-free and peaceful nation only utilising aggression when it is deemed absolutely necessary to protect life, limb and private property. The history of the United States from its earliest colonial days to the present transparently contradicts such an outlook, yet the mythology remains a significantly vital component of American socio-political administrative policy.

The American mass media rarely, if ever, publicly contemplates the authenticity of this contradiction and in the case of Israel and Israeli policy towards its Arab neighbours; the American media has habitually only portrayed one side of the quandary. The American media has to date never presented the Arab position as the victims of western colonialism and in the modern era, the sufferance of Palestinian genocide under Israeli ethnic violence.

The oft-repeated assertion that Israel as a politically recognised state is merely defending itself against anti-Jewish Muslim terrorism is within the Orwellian concept of state propaganda newspeak designed to frame the discussion of Arabic rights to exist within their own territorial boundaries as "racist antisemitism" rather than the logical and under the circumstances, rationally human response to European Israeli aggression they have experienced since the founding of the Israeli state in 1948.[4]

At this juncture I should, for the sake of absolute clarity, make it clear that while I abhor anti-Jewish bigotry with the same fervour I accord all other such ethno-religious bigotries, many other evaluators of Israeli policy do not. American neo-fascists such as the openly racist ex-Klansman David Duke and former U.S. presidential candidate Patrick Buchanan and numerous others also condemn Israel but for entirely erroneous and manifestly ethnocentric reasons. As they state themselves quite candidly, their position is driven by a theologically based, fanatically single-minded belief that the purity of White, European Christian culture has been undermined by Jewish influence in European social affairs and ethnic integrity. This position deviates little from the historical predisposition accorded Judaism and the Jewish people entertained within the ultra-supremacist Christian European paradigm.

Figures not remembered now but in days past quite prominent for their Judeophobic chauvinism such as Guido Karl von List, Josef Pfefferkorn, Karl Maria Wiligut, Ezra Pound and the legendary Protestant founder Martin Luther are conveniently passed over in favour of more politically durable personages such as Adolf Hitler, Josef Stalin and on occasion, industrialist Henry Ford. An investigative historian may go even further and recount the early and still adhered to theological laws against Judaism and Jews as individuals such as the Islamic concept of Dhimmi[5] found within Sharia (Islamic law) or if one looks even earlier, the restrictive social regulations applied to Jews under the

---

[4] Partition Plan by the United Nations General Assembly accepted by David Ben-Gurion on November 29th 1947 while rejected by the Arab League.

[5] "*ahl al-dhimma"* a non-slave, non-Muslim citizen of a country ruled by Islamic law.

Hellenistic and Roman empires leading in large part to the development of anti-Jewish attitudes we witnessed in the mid-2oth century.

The aforementioned public figures would and will endeavour to present this paper and others critical of Israel as verifiable "proof" that there is a viably Jewish and therefore "liberal" subtext on the part of major media to co-opt what they consider rightful "White" ownership of the industry. What these ethno-supremacist racists fail to comprehend or refuse to accept is that the machinations of the marketplace are entirely due to European and in the case of the United States, colonialist monopoly over the information industry. It just so happens that in the case of Israel and where it happens to reside, their interests share an economically long term practical commonality rather than a provisionally ethnic and therefore solitary bias. As long as Israel can serve as a buffer to the Arabs living on top of massive oil reserves, the relationship will continue. The day that is no longer feasible, expect the world's industrial, military and moral support to stop.

Israelis in and outside of the nation understand this. For the average Jew whether observant or secular and no matter where they reside in the world, the very existence of a viable political nationality is correctly perceived as an insurance policy of immeasurable importance. By having in effect a nation behind them, Jewry everywhere is theoretically safer from the abuses they experienced at the hands of other societies and ethnic groups. A significantly luxurious arrangement few other perpetually threatened ethno-social minorities enjoy.

The redundantly articulated right of Israel to exist in actuality really means the when viewed beyond its emotive veneer, a Jewish right to exist. It perseveres as an entirely humanistic and ethically justifiable appeal to self-preservation amid the historical bias and genocide against a people who have without a doubt suffered much and the hands of others.

But, when does a people's right to self-preservation give one people a right to exist by exterminating the existence of another? Zionists avoid the question like the plague and the propaganda machine of the United States insures that this question is not asked let alone answered to any appreciable degree. It is a question world Jewry, the only people in a position to ask themselves this question refuses to ask itself. Instead, they take full advantage of the fact that western industrialists in pursuit of immensely lucrative oil profits require an ally in the region that will serve to insure that their investments in the area function to their own, solely self-interested, fiduciary benefit.

Returning to the expressed bias within the establishment media towards the "question" of Israeli-Arab relations, one of the more pressing themes presented is that of anti-Semitism or the undue and irrational hatred for Jews and their cultural traditions. One constant theme that prevails in the supposedly responsible sectors of the corporative news and entertainment media suggests that to criticize Israeli policy is analogous to criticizing Jews themselves as a people. The agenda of American and British multi-national energy corporations as expressed in international economic journals such as the Wall Street

Journal and the Economist make no bones about their insistence that popular media reflect their own respective market values and goals.

The power of corporate ownership and advertising over media programming cannot be overemphasised due to the unadorned actuality that they own said outlets and therefore dictate what information is presented and how. It is to their economic advantage that Israel, a decidedly European colonial state situated within the Middle-East remain like any other product, constantly and positively so in the minds of what they regard as their "customers," (i.e., the fuel consuming public) and western news media does a hell of a job in driving that point home.

The symbiotic marriage of big business and major media is not a secret among either industry's professionals yet this relationship is virtually unheard of among common everyday people who acquiescently accept such corporate controls as part and parcel of the American way of life. With popular culture inundated with pro-corporatized subject matter through aggressive advertisements overtly as well as covertly interwoven within entertainment and news broadcasts, dissident viewpoints, perspectives and issues are characteristically disavowed as heretical and hence, subject to effortless dismissal as valid alternatives to the prevailing power structure.

This "propaganda model" as outlined by authors Noam Chomsky and Edward Hermann in their jointly produced expose', "Manufacturing Consent" is a constant factor in why actions such as the illegal and unethical blitzkrieg of Lebanon can occur without anything in the way of "balanced" reportage appearing in the American media. While Israeli deaths and damages to national infrastructure are detailed to a great degree, Arab fatalities and loss of national integrity is not.

What makes this possible is the manipulation of the American public centred on the concept that "Israeli" equates "Jews" although Israel announces itself as a secular, entirely nationalistic state. The political reality that Israel was is and conceivably always will be a client-state of the United States is not highlighted nor is it allowed to be examined in mass media political or theological discourse. By avoiding the question as to what rights Israel retains to exist over the region that Arab states do not possess, the American and western public as a whole are only presented with one side of the issues involved. The marginalisations inherent in such a model preclude any calls for a solution to the problem since in fact such a solution does exist and has existed since 1948. The steadfast denial of this inconvenient detail by the American and British media in concert with their respective governments does more than any Arab liberationist militant force to perpetuate the dilemma.

Meanwhile, given that the authoritative heads of the free-market economies involved with that region's vast natural resources unmistakably require mass public approval and support for their financial welfare, the repudiation of any viable resolution that could threaten this stranglehold is to be expected. It is ultimately up to the public to seek out alternative views and reportage of the situation and further, a greater public willingness to move beyond the emotions caught up in this crisis to perceive what the emotive push is

labouring so hard to conceal. In place of traditional calls for fair or “balanced” commentary, we would do best to create such media from scratch rather than attempt to reform the corporate paradigms already jaundiced by habit and large sums of capital. Simply making the intellectual effort to ask the individuals directly involved does more to enlighten the opinion makers than sitting back and waiting for someone to explain it for you.

The children on both sides of this issue expect and require nothing less.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

The Best Democracy Money Can't Buy:

The Hypocritical and Absurd Reaction to Cuban Independence from the United States

Sequoyah Ade
07.02.2006

"History will absolve me."

-Dr. Fidel Castro

"For the first time in many decades of direct dictatorial rule, Fidel Castro cedes power over Cuba." This declaration is exceedingly similar in acknowledgement and tone to the hastily released mass media news reports across the United States hailing the recent illness of Dr. Fidel Alejandro Castro Ruz, the president and effectual leader of the Cuban people. Thousands of Cuban dissidents rejoiced in Miami's Little Havana and in other Cuban communities raising toasts in anticipation of the possibility of Dr. Castro's impending demise.

The morbidity surrounding such a celebration is undeniably off-colour and as far as this writer is concerned, nauseating when one is forced to consider that despite anything else, Castro's leadership over the years has done more for the Cuban people than anything the dictator he ousted, Fulgencio Batista y Zaldívar ever even attempted. The Miami elitists, the majority of them hailing from the pre-revolutionary upper-classes, have made it a habitual point of procedure to never concede this fact. Instead, the "Kill Castro" crowd talks more of the Cuba that was defined by European genocide, colonialism and economic exploitation by entities that manipulated Cuba and the Cuban people for their own self-centred ends.

The island's post-Columbian history since Christofaro's first landing bears straightforward examination if any clarity is to be brought to the superficial discourse concerning Dr. Castro's role in Cuba's independence from external control. Upon arriving in the Caribbean, the military might of Spain decimated the Indigenous inhabitants, a people known as the Taino, enslaved the survivors and claimed the entire region for the Spanish crown and Catholic Church. No one in a European position of authority ever asked the Taino how they felt about being colonialised for the greater good of a nation thousands of kilometres away.

Since that initial period and the spread of Eurocentric domination of the Americas, the record of European colonial imposition in Cuba is one of imperialistic genocide. Successive Spanish administrations bled Cuba dry. Proceeds that were not forwarded to Spain were commandeered by regional lords who grew wealthy profiting immensely from the advantage of rich natural resources and a captive and free labour force. Indigenous women developed into a vital resource for the exclusively male colonialist populations and the result was dissolution of the Taino people as a viably distinctive people and culture. Although eventually some Catholic theologians such as Bartolomé de Las Casas stepped up to argue in favour of the Indigenous people's plight, such arguments were disregarded in favour of White supremacist theories of eugenic and religious superiorities.

In the wake of the Spanish-American War, the power shifted from a European country to a Euro-colonialist nation seeking to replace and supersede the Spanish empire with a colonialist empire of their own. Since that interlude, Cuba has been, unofficially considered an American spoil of war wrested from the Spanish once the political weight of the American navy was in a position to achieve that end. Along with Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Philippines and Guam were also gathered up and according to the doctrine of Manifest Destiny, held in trust by the enlightened Anglo-Saxons since the non-White natives of these island nations were "savages" and incapable of self-rule.

This attitude has served as the underlying principle defining Cuban-United States policy for more than a hundred years. One needs to only review the 1901 Platt Amendment to substantiate that the United States never, at any time before or after it's involvement in Cuban affairs, intended for the Cuban people to retain their independence from European imperialist governance. For this reason and this reason alone a dictator such as Batista could reign in Cuba while simultaneously the United States could with a straight face proclaim that Cuba was a free and independent country following the "legal" independence of Cuba in may of 1902.

The ascendance of U.S. puppet Cuban Army officer General F. Batista in 1935 cannot be intelligently viewed as anything other than a continuation of the history of external control Cuba has been subject to since the initial invasion by Spanish forces in 1492. If anyone doubts this, it would be wise to take into account that General Batista's second bid for presidential power was accomplished via a coup d'etat planned in Miami, Florida and financed clandestinely by the Truman administration. The political entirety of the Batista period of client-state rule became wrapped up in the more or less absolute dominance of the Cuban economic landscape by American corporations and U.S.-led organized criminal interests that not only operated freely in Cuba but virtually ran the Batista government under the auspices of the American government.

The level of official corruption was so rife; several attempts by the populace to either argue for legal reform or outright armed revolutionary struggle were violently suppressed by the Batista government through military and extra-legal means. Assassinations and imprisonment of political dissidents of Cuban nationalist and human rights groups were

common and applauded by American government officials as "democracy in action in Latin America." A propagandanistic falsehood that eventually led to the government's downfall by partisans led by a charismatic revolutionary leader named Fidel Castro.

While much can be attributed to Dr. Castro in the way of nationalistic zeal, unlike many other world leaders the Cuban leader has publicly admitted to errors occurring under his rule and has on occasion openly apologised to the Cuban people on behalf of the government for injustices brought about during the tense periods of insurgency by primarily American economic and political intention to reverse the independence gained from the revolution. Facing an external threat from the world's only superpower that financially and morally supports individuals such as American supported terrorist Luis Posada Carriles and anti-Castro organisations staffed by ex-Cuban fascists based in Miami, Florida, the often uneven and at times excessive response of the Cuban government in addressing such pressures has led to extremes that unlike the leaders of the American government, Dr. Castro personally accepts totally responsibility for. This is a stark and important contrast to many over governments around the globe that externally make claims to liberty, justice and political freedom yet internally conduct themselves as exactly the opposite of what they purport to practise.

To date, Dr. Castro has survived numerous assassination attempts by the American government; the Bay of Pigs CIA sponsored invasion; under international law, illegal economic sanctions blocking food and medicines and other vital trade; the broadcasting of the Radio Free Cuba programme intended to curry opposition to the current government; massive international anti-Castro propaganda campaigns and agricultural sabotage directed at crippling the ability of the Cuban people to feed themselves. These measures are on the surface said to be designed to dismantle the Cuban government and Dr. Castro as personally, yet in truth these actions are intended to harm the populace and return them intellectually to the people European imperialism and colonial first encountered in 1492.

I trust that the Cuban people will stay the course by doing what they can, whether Dr. Castro passes through this crisis or not, to remain independent in body, mind and state regardless of the consequences that will surely come via the arrogance of American economic goals of retaking the island nation and placing it back on it's shelf of possessions that exist solely for the benefit of U.S. industrialists.

In the end, history will absolve Dr. Fidel Castro. And his people can not, and should not, be expected to relinquish their genuine independence for the American false promises of faux autonomy masked as political servitude to the neo-liberal market and it's population's personal liberties as production fodder for the United States.

When the Cuban people can preserve that superlative following the eventual passing of their leader, Dr. Castro's revolution can be rightfully said to be complete. And Dr. Castro rightfully should be respected for bravely showing us that such freedom is possible and not childishly derided for not being perfect. For none of us are.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

Star-Power, Media and the Naiveté of American Minority Opinion

Sequoyah Ade
6.26.2006

"Custer died for your sins…"
Native American bumper sticker, 1970's

Two things really amaze me. One, it puzzles me to no end how people perceive the Voice of a Native Son blog sometimes and second, the ridiculously silly iconic power of Oprah Winfrey and the gullibility of a star struck non-White American public. If we here at intelligentaboriginal Media say something critical about Israel we get mail calling us rabid anti-Semites or Arab extremists. Muslim opinion wavers depending on what I might say, if I write something about American racism White folks write me branding me a "reverse-racist" and African peeps ask me who I am to speak on these issues since I'm not 100% "Black." Philippine and Arab feminists send me notes of solidarity while their European American compatriots have accused me of misogyny. American Indian mail can range from highly congratulatory to personalised invectives and condemning memos because I refuse to say that Indian Country is in good straights.

Others flat out question my "Indianness," "Blackness" and "Pinoyness" since my genetic makeup hails (in no particular order) from West Africa, Ilocos Sur in the Northern Philippines, North America and Semitic Europe via a rape in the 1940's. I'm still brown enough to be profiled but evidently for some, nothing will ever be enough to satisfy everyone's particular prejudices. And for the record, due to the ongoing expulsions of Indians of African decent from many nations, I don't even identify as "Indian" any longer. It's not our term and frankly I find the label limiting since the world become increasingly global understands "Indigenous" rather than Indian which still offends and confuses those from the eastern sub-continent as well as many others. With significant DNA coursing through my biological melange from the Africa, Indigenous makes for a more accurate description of whom and what I am.

The latest flap involving the Voice of a Native Son blog concerns my criticism of Oprah Winfrey's bastardisation of the Dine' people for her television show. The mail has been unusually hateful in response to my commentary and entirely ignorant of the facts behind

why I or anyone else who gives a damn about righting 500 years of genocidal wrongs would find Ms. Winfrey's conduct racist and wholly guilty of perpetuating White racism here as well as abroad. And the detail that Ms. Winfrey is a person of colour makes the issue even more pressing for the simple fact that when racism, not bigotry, is performed by non-Whites the argument becomes from the White establishment, "It isn't racism when they do it."

This is what my critics can't seem to comprehend. Veteran American African newsman Gil Noble said it best, "When a White man speaks, he speaks for himself. When a Black man speaks, he speaks for the entire race." Translation for the hard of understanding: in this White man's world and especially in American media ethnic minorities are judged by what we say, what we do and how we allow ourselves to be represented to the world at large. How we appear impacts everybody of that group in the eyes of public opinion and that opinion has been shaped through the pedagogical and propagandistic efforts of the Eurocentric power structure.

Those of us who oppose American Indian mascots know this all too well. For many in the United States and even among those who vociferously voiced their strong opposition to my article, Indian stereotypes of the passive and patriotic sort fared more so than any credible argument countering what I wrote. My critique was just as pointed towards the Dine' leadership as was towards Ms. Winfrey. She could not have undertaken the façade had not the Tribal leadership gone along with her outrageous demands. They are just as guilty of perpetuating Indian stereotypes as is HARPO Productions and in light of everything else those people are going through, they really should have know better.

So instead of seeing Indian realities, they helped Oprah Winfrey push American lies about the Indian. For those viewing the show, Indigenous people in the United States are a proud and beaten people and more than happy to acquiesce to Eurocentric dominance over their numbers. If you think such media representations make American Indians look good across the waters, you've got another thing coming.

The worst example I have seen to date of the monstrous by-product of American media ethnocide was aired during an episode of the Mike Hunt Show on Seattle's community public access channel. Between clips of pornography and public service announcements regarding ganja smoking, the host played a comedy skit from Japan in which the cast speaking in English portrays a family at breakfast using the epithet "Nig**r" as often as possible. The point was brought home to me when the grandfather opened his windbreaker to flash his "What's up my Nig**r" t-shirt. The sort of ethnic bigotry demonstrated by Japanese comic writers is not an aberration. It is a Japanese observation of what they see when they view American entertainment media. Even the Japanese president formally apologised to the Clinton government for remarking that America's biggest problem is with its Black population. His source for his comments he says are American popular television and music, a multi-billion dollar a year industry that makes its profits exploiting America's racial hypocrisy.

Those who are cross with me over my indictment of Oprah Winfrey and by extension HARPO productions for orchestrating a Native American media spectacle do not understand the weight of the problem and are blinded by the belief that when people of colour become a household name this equates full equality in all areas of American socio-political life. Ms. Winfrey without her television show, self-aggrandizing magazine and willingness to capitulate to White America's cookie-cutter image of the responsible Black leadership figure is just another Black woman in America trying to navigate the racial waters of the post-slavery/post-Civil Rights eras. Now we live in an era of media saturation, fake news and mental colonialism, a puzzling mixture of mind-malleable soft controls designed to weaken the natural desire of oppressed people to shrug off the shackles that weigh them down. And of that baggage, the colonialist imposed preconceived notions of what constitutes authoritative commentary and fair play beg to be re-examined.

Major media is not purely entertainment, it is a vehicle structured to deliver advertisements and opinion. Political theorists such as Walter Lippmann called such machinations "Necessary Illusions" distribute via popular culture in particular the major media to shape public opinion. We can see this during World War Two in the Allied and Axis powers with the Germans excelling in creating new forms of propaganda still used by modern politicians. The greatest of these methods was found to be radio, later films and newsreels and still later the advent of television, the granddaddy mind bender of them all.

People of colour are no less immune to persuasion than anyone else. But ask yourself why UPN and its accompanying commercials look the way they do and while you are at it, question the legitimacy of how red, bucktoothed American Indian gnomes can be passed off as honouring this continent's First Nations.

No, you see the process of racism/racialism is difficult to see when it's right under your nose. As Franz Fanon made clear many years ago, colonialism is designed to blur the reality of the genocidal impulse of the colonialiser as an impulse of those so oppressed. When under the bludgeon of ethnically-based repression, the psychological damage inflicted retards the clarity necessary to deconstruct what is happening and how to affect its end. When one is caught up in the throes of oppressive colonially driven ethnic partisanship, it is never quite apparent why it happens, it just does. And Oprah Winfrey is no less a part of the problem than the bigoted executives than formulate American media.

Rev. Sequoyah Ade

Dershowitz and Zionism: A Historical Hypocrisy

Rev. Sequoyah Ade
7.27.2006

"If I were an Arab leader, I would never sign an agreement with Israel. It is normal; we have taken their country. It is true God promised it to us, but how could that interest them? Our God is not theirs. There has been Anti - Semitism, the Nazis, Hitler, Auschwitz, but was that their fault? They see but one thing: we have come and we have stolen their country. Why would they accept that?"

- David Ben-Gurion

I'm not at all surprised by this and neither should you be. Celebrity legal adviser to the affluent and zealous infamous Israeli genocide enthusiast Alan Dershowitz again ardently defends Israel's recent campaign of terror and wholesale massacre in Lebanon in an opinion piece for the LA Times entitled, "'Civilian Casualty'? It Depends" in which he lays out yet another emotionally charged case for the state of Israel to exist while it colonially decimates those it has displaced.

All this month Dershowitz has published a flurry of incendiary commentaries defending Israeli super-aggression in Lebanon as legitimate measures to undermine and obliterate Hezbollah, Hamas, Islamic Jihad and any civilian Arab sympathisers morally supporting authentic Arab independence. In Dershowitz's none to secretive Zionism first last and always perspective, European Israelis and their Arab cohorts such as the Druze possess an "inalienable right" to utilise as much military power as they deem necessary to instil via belligerence a sense of Jewish sovereignty in the region that will bring about a "lasting peace" based entirely on United States-Israeli terms.

The real impetus for the string of articles is apparently the commonsensical suggestion by United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights Ms. Louise Arbour that Israeli government and military bureaucrats may be considered war criminals and charged by the World Court at the Hague for the uneven and brutal response to the detention of three Israeli Defence Force soldiers by Hamas and Hezbollah. This is of course in light of the

sober fact that Israel has been detaining at will Palestinians randomly snatched off the streets and from their homes under the often used by rarely proved auspices of "national security."

Dershowitz, a blindly robust supporter of bullish Israeli policy openly shelved her announcement as out of the ordinary in an opinion piece which not only insisted on her dismissal as a U.N. commissioner but further contended that her interpretation of the long standing conflict was a case in point of the "absurdity and counterproductive nature of current international law."[6]

Mr. Dershowitz antagonistically and regularly disputes claims that innocent civilians deemed enemies by the Israeli state are being specifically targeted. He has gone on record to say that the term civilian has become an "increasingly meaningless word" when Israeli security concerns necessitate that the loss of Arab civilian life and property is in large part due to the popular public support Arab liberation organisations receive from the Arabic community. What Mr. Dershowitz and many others practise in this regard is clearly hypocritical and telling in that they are tacitly though not admittedly applying the very same syllogistic reasoning the German Nazi Party harped to their own public concerning Homosexuals, Gypsies, Turks, political dissidents, the mentally challenged and of course lest we somehow if ever could forget, European Jewry. All ended up in the camps, all suffered from the degradations of the Final Solution and nearly all of them were killed.[7]
For Mr. Dershowitz, a practicing Ashkenazi Jew, (if I am incorrect I can reasonably assume he will be certain to correct me) such blatant dichotomies fly in the face of not only his vociferously professed religious convictions, but of the well documented history of that theology's peoples. I doubt that if Mr. Dershowitz were directly challenged by this assertion he would have very much to counter with historically, but that has never stopped militant Jewish nationalists before.

The chronological factoid that the Israelites have traditionally aggressively displaced other cultures within the provinces they historically claim as their own with the expressed goal of securing a kingdom and identity of their own is not in dispute. Archaeologists have dated the first mentioning of an Israelite people rather than a nation to an Egyptian record of their own military campaigns in the region beginning with Canaan up to, but not limited to, the dissolution of the earliest Jewish kingdoms in 922 BCE.

The Hebrew Exodus, the theological record of the repatriation of the Israelites to the region maintains that after escaping enforced servitude in Egypt and drifting throughout Western Asia for a time, the Israelites under the hypothetically holy auspices of Iehovah

---

[6] "Arbour Must Go" National Post (Toronto), July 21 2006

[7] Incidentally, when the camps were finally liberated, the homosexual prisoners were kept incarcerated under Germany's Paragraph 175 anti-homosexual law. Although the Germans were defeated, American General George S. Patton opined that they were in fact "criminals."

antagonistically overran the land of Canaan laying waste Jericho, Hazor and other established settlements and peoples including the Philistines.

Following this decisive victory various successive kingdoms rose and fell in part due to internal rivalries, religious disputes and heavy pressure from other societies, notably the ever-expanding European empirical powers, seeking to develop their influence in the vicinity. After three violent attempts at repelling European rule in the region the Israelites were finally militarily overwhelmed and forcibly ejected from Western Asia following the failed Bar Kokhba's revolt[8] in the Gregorian year of 135. This was preceded by the initial Jewish Diaspora which actually began in the year 70 after the Roman Empire laid waste to the renovation of the Second Temple[9] leading to the chronicle of peripheral European control over the region ever since.

While modern historians remain mired in intense debate over the accuracy of these accounts, there is little question over how the ancient Israelites initially attained their territories until their rather humbled homecoming to the area in the late 1800's in large measure due to anti-Jewish biases Ashkenazi Jews experienced in Europe. Whereas many observers today regard with inadequately pompous insight that the modern Zionist movement commenced as Jewish resistance to German ethnocide against its European population, the roots of this ideology go back much further and are utterly over-involved with theological mythology rather than pragmatic political realities.
The deceitfulness in Mr. Dershowitz' arguments are easily disputed by any sincere appraisal of the history surrounding how Israel as a secular state came to be. While many can and stridently will point to figures such as David Ben-Gurion, many will not be so forthcoming about "liberationist" terrorist organisations such as Irgun (National Military Organization) or Lehi (Fighters for the Freedom of Israel) responsible for carrying out brutal, uncompromising violence against the British and American presence in Palestine including the murder of private citizens not involved in the movement for an independent Jewish state. It has been said that one man's liberator is another man's terrorist. In the case of Israeli hypocrisies, this is an embarrassingly blatant fact of Jewish political history.

But it does not end there. Many Jewish and Goyim supporters of the Israeli state will raise the point that the initial modern Palestinian drive to secure for themselves some sort of nationalistic autonomy in the face of Zionist demands was endorsed by Haj Amin al-Husseini, the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, an Arab nationalist who made the connection we still see in the Middle-East between Arab nationalism and the far-right in the U.S. and Europe. The Grand Mufti was a confidant of German Nazi Party leader Adolf Hitler and actually went so far as to recruit Muslims to serve in the Waffen SS during the European Tribal conflict we know as World War Two.

---

[8] Bar Kokhba's revolt (circa 132-135) is also referred to as the Second Jewish-Roman War and The Second Jewish Revolt. Historically it was a major popular rebellion by Judean Semites against the classical Roman Empire.
[9] The Temple of Jerusalem reconstructed by Herod the Great, instigator of the "Massacre of the Innocents" according to the New Testament.

In turn, Lehi as a militant Zionist organisation openly considered entering the Second World War in 1940 to support of Nazi Germany in order to garner their support in forcing the English to abandon the British Mandate over Palestine. As a second objective, Lehi sought to secure the safe withdrawal of European Jewry to an independently Jewish Palestine stating that the goals of Nazism were analogous to their own position of ethnic nationalism and that instead of destroying the European Jewish population, Germany should be logical and assist them in attaining a separate Jewish homeland. High ranking Nazis such as Otto Adolf Eichmann were sent to Palestine to assess the possibilities of Jewish emigration from Europe and authored a report recommending against large-scale removal to Palestine ostensibly on economic grounds rather than disregard for Lehi's Zionist principles. Lehi tried to establish contact with the Germans again in 1941 without much success. So much for Israeli morality and theological superiority.

In short, the morality Mr. Dershowitz and other unflinching supporters of Israeli nationalism espouse falls miserably short from the professed state of grace these apologists for Arab genocide assert gives them the unchallengeable right to wage war, marginalise Indigenous populations within their claimed borders and the acknowledged diabolic attempt by the Israeli government to employ "genetic warfare[10]" against the Arab people.

If the emotional onus weighed upon the international community is to rest entirely on the tragic and undeniably truthful history of ethnic chauvinism Israelite descendants encountered in Europe, the conduct and attitudes of modern Zionists and other Israeli nationalists should serve as a legitimate litmus test as to how other similarly marginalised ethnic, religious and socially marginalised groups throughout the world ought respond to their own suffering under analogous conditions.

Hamas, Hezbollah and other Arab nationalist organisations when viewed through this lens parallel the Zionist position exactly, even down to the theological question which if Vladimir "Zeev" Jabotinsky, author of the essay "The Iron Wall"[11] is to be believed, justifies the very sort of sadistic ethno-centrically pseudo-religious nationalism we see in the Western Asia and elsewhere colonialism exists and has existed today.

In light of the loss of all human rationality during the European holocaust and various other pogroms against the Jewish people it is entirely understandable that they would desire a land of their own. But when state sponsored terror based in theologically racist terms is used as an ethical basis for such proceedings, any possible mandate you may

---

[10] "Israel planning 'ethnic' bomb as Saddam caves in," London Times (November 1998) Reports of Israeli attempts at developing an Arab specific biological agent to utilise against response to Iraq's threat of chemical and biological attacks.

11 Vladimir Jabotinsky, "The Iron Wall" (We and the Arabs-1923) First published in Russian under the title O Zheleznoi Stene in Rassvyet, 4 November 1923. Published in English in Jewish Herald (South Africa), 26 November 1937.

chose to declare is immediately negated in the court of basic humanity in an enlightened age.

Mr. Dershowitz is clearly an unapologetic supporter of Jewish nationalism at the expense of the Arabic right to exist as Arabs in their own right. The racist brutality Mr. Dershowitz and others are dishonestly emphasizing as necessary for a lasting peace are in actuality policies that encourage their victims to rationalize their own survival in terms that they can understand as well leading to the detriment of both cultures. A travesty of the highest order since the historical record as well as the shared religious traditions of both peoples began and will ultimately end where it all began.

It is not up to the Arabs to recognize Israel. It is up to Israel and it's capitalist enablers to recognize that White Supremacy is as outdated as is the theological excuses they employ to explain away their own hidden brand of racism.

An eye for an eye will eventually leave us all blind to the reasons why as a species we have still, after thousands of documented massacres, two major European tribal wars and trillions of the indefensible dead in the name of nationalist fervor and religious fallacy, have not learned to live together on this small and increasingly insignificant planet.

I wonder, where is God while all of this misery roams the world of men. Perhaps asking itself why it bothered to create this mess in the first place. Amen.

Pax Americana:

Imperialistic Fantasies of the Master Race

Selected Writings
By
Rev. Sequoyah Ade, a.k.a., The Angryindian

This is a freely distributable e-book released under a Creative Commons license.

This is an **intelligentaboriginal Media** publication.
http://intelligentaboriginalmedia.atspace.org/